Let's Make Healthy Change Happen.



Quality Improvement Plan (QIP) Narrative for Health Care Organizations in Ontario



Thames Valley FHT is the lead organization for:

HealthLink
London Middlesex
Let's make healthy change happen

4/2/2019

This document is intended to provide health care organizations in Ontario with guidance as to how they can develop a Quality Improvement Plan. While much effort and care has gone into preparing this document, this document should not be relied on as legal advice and organizations should consult with their legal, governance and other relevant advisors as appropriate in preparing their quality improvement plans. Furthermore, organizations are free to design their own public quality improvement plans using alternative formats and contents, provided that they submit a version of their quality improvement plan to Health Quality Ontario (if required) in the format described herein.

ontario.ca/excellentcare



Overview

The Thames Valley Family Health Team is one of the largest Family Health Teams in Ontario. With more than 115 physicians across 19 sites within London/Middlesex, Oxford and Elgin counties, we are committed to providing team-based primary health care to over 158,000 patients.

Our team of health professionals including (but not limited to) physicians, nurse practitioners, registered nurses, social workers, dietitians, pharmacists, occupational therapists, respiratory therapists and psychologists, all working together to deliver the very best patient care via one-on-one patient care, services, programs, community partnerships and more.

Describe your organization's greatest QI achievement from the past year

The Thames Valley Family Health Team (TVFHT) continues its strong focus on improving the delivery of services to our diverse patient population. in late 2018/early 2019 TVFHT simplified our organizational strategic directions in order to prioritize focus in a number of specific areas. This process was done collaboratively with input from staff, physician partners, external partners, etc. Some examples of our achievements from 2018-2019 include:

- Mobilizing resources to support several pilots and partnership programs that assist with continuity of care for patients at time of discharge and onward. This work includes a pilot project in partnership with St. Thomas Elgin General Hospital (STEGH) that supports effective care transitions of CHF/COPD patients from hospital to primary care in conjunction with home care and other community partners.
- TVFHT has an identified "access point" within the organization to assist community partners in connecting with our organization for the purposes of improved patient care, effective/efficient care pathways, and continuity of care. This access point is intended to assist all community partners in making linkages to our organization, and currently the "access point" is working as the contact for Strathroy Middlesex General Hospital (SMGH) to ensure participation from a TVFHT provider in care coordination of complex patients at time of discharge.
- TVFHT has also focused significant resources in fiscal 2018/2019 to the further implementation and expansion of Coordinated Care Planning, in partnership with Health Links. During this fiscal year more than half of our staff has received coordinated care planning training and we have introduced new technology at 5 sites to assist with patient screening and identification. TVFHT will continue to prioritize this work in 2019/2020.
- The Launch of the Quality Champion role occurred in 2018/2019 which now actively shares QI initiatives FHT wide and assists in maintaining team focus on the idea of quality care and delivery. Most recent work by members in this role has revolved around coordinated care planning.
- TVFHT moved from a manual program registration model to an online registration model which has significantly improved patient access to our programs and services. This models has assisted with increasing patient awareness of the services offered by TVFHT and has enabled patients who would have otherwise been unable to access certain programming/services, to do so. In fiscal 2019/2020 we will continue to refine this model to ensure ease of use and optimized patient access.
- Building Foundations Group is a program that targets patients with a past history of trauma. We have offered this program in conjunction with a hospital partner trauma program, as a bridging resources until patients are accepted into the hospital program. In fiscal 2018-2019 we identified a gap in this care model as it was limited to London residents. As such, we have since provided training to 14

additional social workers to enable us to offer the Building Foundations Group to patients in all 3 of the counties TVFHT operates.

- Opioid prescribing has been an area of focus in many ways for TVFHT. TVFHT continues to have multiple sites running Chronic Pain programs aimed at teaching patients self-management techniques for improved pain management. The program looks at both the physical and mental challenges faced by these patients and over the course of the 6 week program, helps patients better understand and manage the physical and mental factors associated with chronic pain.

TVFHT has implemented multiple EMR tools including stamps, templates and toolbars, to assist with identifying and better managing patients with opioid use disorder.

- TVFHT and the London Health Sciences Centre (LHSC) are working to re-establish a pilot project to explore ways to improve transitions from hospital to primary care. The initial focus will be on exploring ways to impact the "follow-up with a primary care provider within 7 days of discharge from hospital" metric and to identify if there are other impacts that improved follow-up may have (for example, 30-day readmission rates).

Patient/client/resident partnering and relations

Thames Valley Family Health Team works diligently to incorporate patient feedback into our work, including quality improvement. Through the use of online patient experience surveys, patient experience surveys collected at our sites, patient town hall meetings (held in all 3 of the counties of operation in 2018/2019) and Coordinated Care Planning patient feedback provided via TVFHT specific feedback links.

The TVFHT patient experience survey collects information about the patient experience at the clinic and with their provider including; access times, perceptions about involvement in their care, types of services offered, if services met patient's needs, staff sensitivity, etc.

The TVFHT Coordinated Care Planning patient feedback form collects patient information on; perceived level of support from care team, if patient felt acknowledged during the process, patient involvement in care plan development, etc. Patient feedback has been pivotal in driving much of our QI work including; patient discharge care continuity, expansion of ccp focus, and programs/services offered by the organization. An example of this is the transition TVFHT made from a manual program/service registration model to an online registration model. This shift was driven by the desire to provide equitable and optimal access to the services and programs we offer. By moving to an online registration model this allowed all of our patients to attend programs/services at any of our 19 sites. The online registration model was implemented late spring 2018 and since inception TVFHT has seen a significant increase in program registration and, as a result, an increase in the number of program runs offered by the organization.

Workplace violence prevention

TVFHT has an Occupational Health and Safety Best Practice Committee that, working with our Human Resources staff, is involved in maintaining current, and implementing new, health and safety policies and procedures as required. These cover topics including (but not limited to):

- Workplace Violence
- Emergency Duress
- Working Alone
- Infection Prevention, Control, and use of Personal Protective Equipment
- Home Visit Safety
- Harassment and Discrimination

The Best Practice Committee has membership from both leadership and staff, and we have designated representatives from each of our physical locations. Monthly site inspections are usual practice and are reported and reviewed regularly. Violence risk assessments from all sites and staff were completed and reviewed. All staff are required to have up-to-date WHMIS training, fit testing and fire safety.

Contact Information

TVFHT is located at:

1385 North Routledge Park, Unit 6, London, Ontario, N6H 5N5 519-473-0530

We can be contacted through our website, http://www.thamesvalleyfht.ca, and at info@thamesvalleyfht.ca.

Other

TVFHT's ability to access the Primary Care Practice Reports continues to be welcome. The challenge, however, remains that the data in these reports is out-of-date by the time it is received. For reference, the most current data we have available to us through these practice reports is to the end of March 2018, a year out of date in planning for the 2019/2020 QIP. To effectively monitor quality improvement initiatives requires real-time metrics that are responsive to change efforts. Having data that is more than one year old does not allow true evaluation of changes in practice. Alternative ways of measuring improvements in a timely manner would rely on different data sets which may not correlate with the data sets required within the priority indicators and which may not allow comparisons between organizations. As a result, TVFHT has, and will continue to, focus on internal metrics that may better inform our quality improvement efforts.

Equitable compensation in primary care also remains a challenge to recruitment and retention efforts. Investments have been made in this area, but we still do not have a competitive compensation package, and losing staff to better compensated parts of the health sector continues to challenge us.

Sign-off

It is recommended that the following individuals review and sign-off on your organization's Quality Improvement Plan (where applicable):

I have reviewed and approved our organization's Quality Improvement Plan

| Board Chair Aindrea Cramp | (signature) | |
|---|--------------------|---------------|
| Quality Committee Chair or delegate Lauren K | Kopechanski | _ (signature) |
| Executive Director/Administrative Lead Mike M | <u>//cMahon</u> (s | signature) |
| Other leadership as appropriate Jill Strong | (signature) | - , |

2019/20 Quality Improvement Plan for Ontario Primary Care "Improvement Targets and Initiatives"



Thames Valley FHT 12-1385 North Routledge Park, London, ON N6H 5N5

| | | Measure | | | | | | | | Change | | | | | |
|---------------------|---|--|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| | | Unit / | | | Current Target | | | | Planned improvement | | | Target for process | | | |
| 1 7 1 1 | Quality dimension | Measure/Indicator | Type | Population | Source / Period | Organization Id | performance | Target | • | External Collaborators | initiatives (Change Ideas) | Methods | Process measures | measure | Comments |
| MA ANA IN | Ils must be completed) P = Priority (complete ONLY the comments cell if you are not working on this indicator) C = custom (add any other indicators you are working on) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| mi 11117 | - | | C ONET the com | | | | custom (add any t | outer mateutors | <u> </u> | 1 | | To . | To a second | • | |
| IIII IIII K | Efficient | Percentage of | Р | % / Discharged | See Tech Specs / | 91498* | СВ | СВ | Actively | | | "1) Focused work is being done by way of IDEAS | "% of patients discharged in which a discharge | All patients | Much of the |
| MILIMIN | | patients who have | | patients | Last consecutive | | | | pursuing | | to re-establish HRM | Prevent pilot project related to discharge for | summary was received within 48 hours - % of patients | • . | focus of this work |
| ***** | | had a 7-day post | | | 12-month | | | | community/hop | | discharge projec with LHSC | | followed-up within 7 days of discharge by phone or in- | | will be around |
| Magay | | hospital discharge | | | period. | | | | sital | | Additionally, hospital - FHT | and post discharge, using defined pathways and CCP. | person - % of patients deemed requiring follow-up | notification of | coordinated care |
| 11626X | | follow up for | | | | | | | partnerships to | | linkages are being made vi | | within primary care following discharge - % of patients | discharge within | planning and |
| alonom. | | Percentage of those | Р | % / Discharged | EMR/Chart | 91498* | СВ | СВ | Actively | | 1)IHPs that review | Review of discharge notifications, care conference, | Ensure action on all discharge summaries that are | All patients | This will be |
| | | hospital discharges | | patients | Review / Last | | | | pursuing | | discharge summaries and | established pilot project process/contact point, access | received within 48 hours of discharge. | discharged, for | targeted via |
| thu change hannen | | (any condition) | | | consecutive 12- | | | | community/hop | | or are involved in care | point, etc. | | which we receive | several defined |
| ury change nappen | | where timely (within | | | month period. | | | | sital | | conferences or discharge | | | notification of | pathways. |
| Tank Tarking | | 48 hours) notification | | | | | | | partnerships to | | process will arrange for | | | hospital discharge | , |
| | | Percentage of | С | % / # of patients | | 91498* | СВ | 5.00 | Health Links | | 1)Expand CCP screening to | | "% of eligible patients who visit clinic who are | "50% of patients | As of the end of |
| | | patients identified as | | screened | collection / April | | | | data suggests | | all TVFHT sites (currently 5 | aligning FHT clinicians with contact points at various | screened using complex care questionnaire. % of | visiting site | fiscal 2018/2019 |
| | | meeting Health Link | | | 2019-March | | | | approximately | | sites are actively engaged | community organizations/hospitals to support CCP | patients who visit clinic who check in using ocean | screened 80% of | ocean technology |
| Ī | | criteria who are | | | 2020 | | | | 5% of patients | | in this work). Leverage | appropriate patient identification at time of discharge. | 1 | identified patients | has been |
| | | offered access to | | 0/ / DC | | (04400* | 47.02 | 70.00 | would meet | | internal resources to make | | care questionnaire as meeting CCP criteria, who are | referred for | integrated within |
| | Timely | Percentage of | Р | % / PC | | 91498* | 47.83 | 70.00 | Based on | | 1)Continued emphasis on | Weekly tracking of time to appointment for physicians | time from request/referral to appointment. | Targets are as | Access is a |
| | | patients and clients | | organization | April 2018 - | | | | objective | | the importance of access | and nurse practitioners as well as all other providers. | | follows: - | priority for |
| | | able to see a doctor | | population | March 2019 | | | | measurement of | | for acute/episodic care. As | | | Pharmacists: 90% | TVFHT and we |
| | | or nurse practitioner | | (surveyed | | | | | access (third | | well as a focus on | | | of patients offered | |
| TI | | on the same day or | | sample) | | (04400* | 02.72 | 05.00 | next available | | reasonable wait times for | C. II. III. I | Harris Committee | an appointment | tracking as a |
| Theme II: Service | Patient-centred | Percent of patients | Р | % / PC | In-house survey | 91498* | 92.73 | 95.00 | Current | | 1)Increased and continued | · · | Use of methods across all sites to allow patient to | Quarterly review | In previous years |
| Excellence | | who stated that | | organization | April 2018 - | | | | performance is | | focus on patient | new employees on these best practices. | provide feedback on their experience. | of data and | we observed that |
| | | when they see the | | population | March 2019 | | | | strong for this | | involvement across all | | | reporting of | patients were |
| | | doctor or nurse | | (surveyed | | | | | indicator (well | | sites. | | | outcomes to | much more likely |
| Theme III: Safe and | Effective | practitioner, they or Proportion of | n | sample) Proportion / at- | Local data | 91498* | СВ | 50.00 | above LHIN Because this | | 1)Evaluate current state | Through the use of the EMR and PCPR, as well as | Aggregating data from all sites to have full | identify successes To have a full | to provide Once the |
| Effective Care | Effective | · · | r | | collection / Most | | СВ | 50.00 | indicator is new | | and establish internal | | organizational picture to then determine best | | preliminary work |
| Effective Care | | primary care patients | | risk cohort | recent 6 month | | | | for 2019/2020 | | process around early needs | through CCP work and screening that is currently | approach moving forward which may involve linkages | understanding of organizational | is complete we |
| | | with a progressive, | | | | | | | | | · · | s taking place. | 1 | - | The state of the s |
| | | life-threatening | | | period | | | | we will need to determine our | | identification. | | with current in-house CCP and/or other existing | baseline and | will have the |
| | Cofo | illness who have had Percentage of non- | D | % / Patients | CAPE, CIHI, OHIP | 01400* | CB | CB | This is a new | | 1)Evaluate current state | Through the use of the EMR and PCPR. | project/work. Aggregating data from all sites to have full | defined process To have a full | opportunity to Once the |
| | Sare | | P | % / Patients | RPDB, NMS / Six | , 91498 | СВ | СВ | indicator and | | and establish internal | Through the use of the EMR and PCPR. | | | |
| | | palliative patients | | | months | | | | | | priorities around this | | organizational picture to then determine best | understanding | prelimary work is |
| | | newly dispensed an | | | | | | | only data | | The state of the s | | approach moving forward which may involve linkages | oforganizational | complete we will |
| | | opioid within a 6- | | | reporting period ending at the | | | | available at this time is PCPR | | indicator. | | with current in-house opioid project/work. | baseline. | have the |
| Equity | Equitable | month reporting Percentage of | C | % / screen | | 91498* | 31 | 28.00 | Although | | 1)Continued focus on this | As in past years, we will do EMR data mining where | Regular reporting. | All EMR eligible | opportunity to Although we will |
| Equity | Equitable | Ontario screen- | C | eligible | April 2019 - | 91490 | 31 | 28.00 | current | | indicator by way of EMR | possible and share the findings with physicians and | Regular reporting. | teams to receive | continue to do |
| | | eligible individuals, | | individuals | March 2020 | | | | performance is | | ' ' | - · · · | | | |
| | | | | individuais | IVIATCH 2020 | | | | · · | | data pulls throughout the | clinicians in order to assist in improving outcomes in | | reporting at least | work on this |
| | | 50-74 years old, who were overdue for | | | | | | | above LHIN average, we will | | year for patients overdue | this area. | | once during fiscal 2019/2020. | indicator, this is not a priority |
| | | Percentage of | c | % / Women 21- | CCO-SAR, EMR / | 91498* | 66 | 69.00 | Continued focus | | for screening. 1)Continued focus on | Nurse practitioners conduct a week long focus on | Quarterly review of data, NP led initiatives. | Participation of all | Although we will |
| | | Ontario screen- | | 60 Wollieli 21- | Annually / April | 31430 | 00 | 03.00 | on this indicator | | indicator. Nurse | cervical screening. In fiscal 2017/2018 NPs completed | Quarterly review or data, MP led lilitiatives. | NPs in week long | |
| | | eligible women, 21- | | 09 | 2019 - March | | | | should yield | | practitioners participate in | 156 PAPs, administered HPV vaccine 43 times and | | cervical health | continue to do work on this |
| | | | | | | | | | | | li i i | 1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| | | 69 years old, who | | | 2020 | | | | continued | | week long cervical | recommended the vaccine to 67 others during the | | initiative. | indicator, this is |
| L | | completed at least | | | | | | | improvements | | screening initiative | screening week. | | | not a priority |